

**IN THE UNIVERSAL COURT OF JUSTICE ON EARTH
FOR HUMAN CIVILIZATION**

Reverend G. Martin Freeman, Presiding)
 Chaplain, and God’s Advocate on behalf of)
 The Universal Life Church Monastery)
Plaintiffs,)

Civil Action No.

vs.

The United States of America and all)
 sovereign co-defendant States thereof to)
 include the State of Washington, [Rob](#))
[McKenna](#), Attorney General of the State of)
 Washington, and Prosecuting Attorney,)
[Daniel T. Satterberg](#) King County.)
 Misconstrued Writings of The Bible, The)
 Holy Quran and The Torah as accepted and)
 endorsed by the political leaders of the above)
 defendants and unnamed John Doe Defendants)
 1-10)

Ecclesiastical Complaint for a declaratory judgment that the Universal Life Church has the right to consecrate the marriage of all committed couples of human beings, regardless of gender; and further to enjoin and require all governments, including the United States, to recognize those marriages as sacred and entitled to the same rights as those marriages between humans of different genders.

Defendants.

COMES NOW Plaintiff, Reverend George M. Freeman, God’s Advocate on behalf of God, Jehovah, Allah, Mother Nature and Intelligent Design and asks this Court to take Judicial Notice of the facts stated herein for purposes of issuing an order to show cause why same sex marriages should not be recognized by all of God’s children and granting judgment on all of petitioner Freeman’s causes of actions declaring that all God’s children should be allowed to mate and marry and stand united before all the world as a couple consecrated by the love and power of God.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

Jurisdiction of this action arises out of [28 U.S.C. § 1369](#) in that this action challenges the constitutionality of state and federal legislative acts and their subsequent enforcement; and [28 U.S.C. §1343](#), and [42 U.S.C. §1983](#) and [§1988](#), to redress the deprivation under color of state or federal laws, of rights and privileges secured by the [First and Fourteenth Amendments](#) of the [United States Constitution](#) and in particular the [Washington State Constitution](#).

PENDENT JURISDICTION

Pendent Jurisdiction is claimed under the US Constitution, the State of Washington's Constitution and the Revised Code; [RCW 26.04.010](#), [RCW 26.04.020\(1\) \(c\)](#), [RCW 26.04.240](#) and [RCW 26.04.250](#). The acts set forth herein arise in and have taken place in King County in the State of Washington, and in the sovereign co-defendant States of America. This informal Ecclesiastical Complaint and Proclamation of civil rights and religious violations does not set down in detail all the particularities of plaintiffs' claim, but simply gives all legislative and judicial tribunals and the defendants herein, liberal construction and fair notice that this action is searching for truth in the fog of confusion and the grounds upon which it rests. Notwithstanding, other claims and violations which are reasonably apparent from the allegations set forth below which identify a cognizable legal theory of sufficient facts, that require this matter to be heard. Venue is proper in that individual parties are residents and citizens of the State of Washington and or the various States of America.

PARTIES

1. Plaintiff George Freeman also appears in this matter as a Private Attorney General advancing a religious policy inherent in public interest legislation and on behalf of a significant class of his congregation and a wide class of other American citizens. He acts also as a [Messenger for Heaven](#) and Presiding Chaplain of the Universal Life Church Monastery, also known as [TheMonastery.org](#); a religious organization which has been targeted by the unlawful acts of the defendants as set forth below, whose right to practice and promulgate its and their congregants religious beliefs, which have been chilled by defendants' conduct. Plaintiffs' object to their tax dollars being spent to single out this minority community to discriminatory laws,

rules, discussions, treatments, acts and policies against minority religious groups who believe that God has sanctioned homosexual love since the beginning of time.

2. Defendant State of Washington is one of the United States of America.

3. Defendant Rob McKenna is the [Attorney General](#) of the State of Washington, and is responsible for prosecution of the unlawful statute and acts set forth below of all ministers who marry same sex citizens.

4. Defendants Does 1-10 are persons and entities which on information and belief, are acting in concert with and in a [conspiracy](#) with the named defendants to carry out the unconstitutional and illegal acts set forth below. Such persons or entities will be identified and named as defendants during the course of this litigation.

Private Attorney General

The “private attorney general” concept holds that a successful private party plaintiff is entitled to recovery of his legal expenses, including attorney fees, if he has advanced the policy inherent in public interest legislation on behalf of a significant class of persons. See [Newman v. Piggie Park Enterprises](#), 390 U.S. 400 (1968), [Dasher v. Housing Authority of City of Atlanta, Ga.](#), D.C.Ga., 64 F.R.D. 720, 722, also [Equal Access to Justice Act](#). As a private attorney general, Reverend Freeman also raises the issue that a [conflict preemption](#) exists in this matter and that it is impossible for plaintiffs to obtain due process, in that an absence of uniform state and federal laws, which aid in the accomplishment and full purposes and objectives of Congress, as set forth in [English v. General Electric Co.](#), 496 U.S. 72 (1990), also see; [Shaw v. Delta Air Lines, Inc.](#), [463 U. S. 85](#), [463 U. S. 95](#)-98 (1983).

CONFLICT AND CERTIFIABLE QUESTION OF LAW

Given the circumstances herein, there is a recognizable need for this issue to be adjudicated in a federal tribunal. This case provides the Court with an opportunity to satisfy a larger interest of justice through a claim for federal uniformity, the public good and the interests and the benefits of our citizens. This claim seeks to provide protection not only to ministers and

religions who respect the evidence of Heaven's creation, but for all citizens who plead for equal federal justice. In addition, Chaplain Freeman raises these issues in his private attorney general capacity.

Statement of the Case

The First Amendment supports the premise that same-sex marriage, as scientifically evidenced below by nature's and God's creation of animal and human homosexuality as a natural mating ritual, is within one's belief that God has created it without fault, then such natural mating and or marriage unions are protected under the First Amendment as a religious sacrament. American citizens who are Homosexuals are restrained from the Sacraments of Marriage while heterosexual citizens are allowed state and federal accommodations and protections under the laws pertaining to heterosexual sacraments of marriage.

a.) Homosexuality in Heritage and Present-day Society

Eminent homosexual figures from the [Ante Christum](#) period of history include [Sappho](#) (600 BC), [Anacreon](#) (570-488 BC), [Sophocles](#) (496-406 BC), [Euripides](#) (480-406 BC), [Socrates](#) (470-399 BC), [Alexander the Great](#) (356-323 BC), [Virgil](#) (70-19 BC), [Horace](#) (65-8 BC), and [Ovid](#) (43 BC-17 AD). Prominent [Anno Domini](#) figures include [Hadrian](#) (76-138 AD), [Antoninus Pious](#) (86-161 AD), [Donatello](#) (1386-1466 AD), [Leonardo da Vinci](#) (1452-1519 AD), [Raphael](#) (1483-1520 AD), and [Michelangelo](#) (1475-1564 AD), [Joan of Arc](#) and [King Ludwig of Bavaria](#) are but a few who have guided civilizations' march of time.

More recent prominent gay guides include; [Walt Whitman](#), [Oscar Wilde](#), [Cole Porter](#), [Bessie Smith](#), [Greta Garbo](#), [Noel Coward](#), [Lorenz Hart](#), [Eleanor Roosevelt](#), [T.E. Lawrence](#), [James Dean](#), [Montgomery Clift](#), [Virginia Woolf](#), [Lily Tomlin](#), [Quentin Crisp](#), [Cary Grant](#), [Charles Laughton](#), [Rock Hudson](#), [John Gielgud](#), [Roy Cohn](#), [Johnny Mathis](#), [Tennessee Williams](#), [Joan Baez](#), [Liberace](#), [Bayard Rustin](#), [Truman Capote](#), [Andy Warhol](#), [James Baldwin](#), [Rudolf Nureyev](#), [Allen Ginsberg](#), [Harvey Milk](#). A small sampling of present-day figures who have contributed to our contemporary cultural heritage, and who are open about their sexuality include [Rosie O'Donnell](#), [Ellen DeGeneres](#), [Anne Heche](#), [Portia de Rossi](#), [Melissa Etheridge](#), [Barney Frank](#), [K.D. Lang](#), [Elton John](#), [Amy Ray](#), [Chastity Bono](#), [Jodie Foster](#), [Wanda Sykes](#), Mayors

[Klaus Wowereit](#) of Berlin, [Bertrand Delanoë](#) of Paris, and [Ole von Beust](#) of Hamburg. As the evidence above sets forth, homosexuals as a class of people have made an overwhelming contribution to the development of civilization. The above sampling of gay heritage is evidence of God's gift of enlightenment through the gay community.

A new challenge for Federal Suspect Classification for Homosexuals

The Fourteenth Amendment declares: "States are not forbidden to enact legislation which affects some people differently than others, the classification must be reasonable, not arbitrary, and must rest upon some ground of difference having a fair and substantial relation to the object of the legislation, so that all persons similarly circumstanced shall be treated alike."

Under the Fourteenth the United States Supreme Court instituted the suspect classification test (1) to prove whether citizens' rights to equal protection of our laws were violated.

Race is a transparent example of a suspect classification. The Supreme Court in [Loving v. Virginia](#), 388 U.S. 1, 87 S. Ct. 1817, 198 L. Ed. 2d 1010 (1967), scrutinized a Virginia law that prohibited interracial marriage. The Court held that race was the basis for the classification and as such it was suspect. The Court struck down the law because Virginia failed to prove a compelling state interest in not allowing interracial marriages. Legislation discriminating on the basis of religion or ethnicity, as well as those statutes that affect fundamental rights are inherently suspected. The U.S. Supreme Court has not recognized sexual orientation as a suspect classification. There are four *Indicia of Suspectedness* that are used to determine if a group is a suspect class;

- I. History of Purposeful Discrimination,**
- II. Political Powerlessness,**
- III. Immutable Traits,**
- IV. Gross Unfairness.**

I. History of Purposeful Discrimination

Abuse, Pain, Prejudice, and Death for Homosexuals

The US maintained the death penalty for convicted "sodomites" until 1779 when Thomas Jefferson proposed that Virginia drop the death penalty for "sodomites" and replace it with castration. Worldwide, the Spanish Visigoths punished homosexuals by castration. Even after Charlemagne's conquest of Spain the punishments continued. France punished homosexual behavior with loss of the testicles for the first offense, one's penis on the 2nd offense, and death by burning at the stake on the 3rd offense. Henry VIII outlawed homosexuality in England in 1533 punishable by the loss of property or death. Police monitored Molly Houses, or brothels for male prostitutes, and those who visited were put to death until the 1700s.

In 1935 Hitler extended prohibitive laws to include homosexual kissing, embracing, and homosexual fantasies. Approximately 25,000 gays were sent to prisons and Nazi death camps for sterilization and or castration. Afterwards in 1942, Hitler ordered the death punishment for thousands of homosexuals. Today, Hitler's [Pink Triangle](#) is an icon and battle flag to represent "never again" for the Gay Movement. *FBI Hate Crime Statistics, 2007*, shows that 16.6 percent of hate crimes were motivated by a sexual orientation bias.

According to the Center for Disease Control MA. Dept. of Ed., Youth Risk Behavior Survey (1999), 33% of gay youth will attempt suicide. Gay teen suicide attempts are four times that of heterosexual youth. The cries of young [Matthew Shepard](#) as he was robbed, tortured, and tied to a wooden fence as a mock crucifixion and left to bleed to death are echoed by the cries of pain, suffering and unequal treatments which gay teens still bear today. These are justifiable reasons for this Court to stand up for Heaven and justice for all. For a Gay child to be terrorized by the lies of a misguided Christian majority, by frightening and telling innocent children that they are born into sin by their mothers and will suffer hell's fire. Notwithstanding, their hate conduct furthers instituting demonizing, homophobic hate rhetoric over the public owned air waves against all homosexuals. FCC licensed broadcast air waves must not be allowed to further such hate, and lies. Thomas Carlyle said "No lie can live forever". Hence, now is the time to stop human lies and human written works about Heaven's intent. Heaven is not bound by fears and homophobia. Heaven speaks a truth that we dare not mention in our Courts, that God made homosexuals.

II. Political Powerlessness

Though the gay community maintains a well-oiled political machine, they are left unable to impact change due to the overwhelming power of the religious right found in the Confederate southern states and churches. Now allied with the Catholic Church and the Mormon Church, they have grossly unfair political power, wealth and religious FCC broadcasts to exert hate speech and bigotry towards homosexuals. The real issue here and throughout America is a religious debate about whether God did or God did not make homosexuals. Our country is embroiled in this argument under such disguises as the [Defense of Marriage Act](#) (1 U.S.C. § 7 and 28 U.S.C. § 1738C) commonly referred to as the federal DOMA. Notwithstanding, the impermissible restraints imposed on our ULC military members by a “[Don’t Ask Don’t Tell](#)” policy (10 U.S.C. § 654). In California’s [Proposition 8](#), the state ballot measure banning same-sex marriage drew its strongest support from Mormons, evangelical Christians and Republicans. Recent Gay Marriage defeats in Florida, Arizona and an anti-Gay adoption measure in Arkansas add evidence of the swelling political rule and influence of confederate states Republicans, Mormons, Catholics and radical right Evangelical churches. They have scuttled the First and Fourteenth Amendment protections of homosexuals. Separate institutions for sexual classes of citizens are unconstitutional in American jurisprudence. The gay community is powerless to overcome these dogmatic interpretations of Christianity. Conversely, scientific evidence shows that homosexuality is a natural and immutable trait in our civilization and has been here long before the rule of Bush Republicans, evangelicals, and a biased belief system that reflects the religious values of Alabama, Rome and Salt Lake City. Hence, the four “*Indicia of Suspectedness*” pleaded above and below mandates that Suspect Classification must be given to homosexual citizens because Heaven and Nature will it so.

III. Immutable Trait

Scientific research in the animal kingdom shows that sexual orientation, like skin pigmentation, is an immutable trait and not a matter of choice. The empirical evidence seen consistently in accredited animal studies demonstrates that homosexual mating and behavior routinely occurs among a wide number of animal species such as birds, cats, dolphins, deer and bison, as well as primates such as macaques, bonobos, gorillas and chimpanzees. See: B. Bagemihl, [Biological Exuberance: Animal Homosexuality and Natural Diversity](#) (1999),

Jonathan Roughgarden, [Evolution's Rainbow](#) (2004), [Homosexual Activity Among Animals Stirs Debate](#), National Geographic J. Owen. July 2004.

IV. Grossly Unfair

[George W. Bush](#) proclaimed that “marriage is a sacred institution.” Former U.S. Senate Majority Leader [Bill Frist](#) also stated that marriage is a sacrament which should be limited to our Western values defined as a union between a man and a woman for the purpose of procreation. The Plaintiffs herein hold that homosexual animals including human beings were intended by nature to engage in intercourse and form into lifelong relationships as it has been since the beginning of our history. Thus, the holy sacrament of marriage must be sanctioned for all couples under the First and Fourteenth Amendment protections regardless of one’s sexual orientation. Exclusion of the sacrament of same-sex marriage is grossly unfair to homosexuals. As such it violates the Establishment Clause of the First Amendment’s imperative, that a law or governmental decision which operates to discriminate against a religion—including a non-traditional religion—violates the Equal Protection and Non-Establishment Clauses. See [Larson v. Valente](#), 456 U.S. 228 (1982). Currently, the defendants restrain our heterosexual and homosexual soldiers from openly discussing ones sexual orientation based on their understanding of God’s design and intent for the sacrament of marriage. As such, they also contradict the Constitution’s proclamation that “*Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech.*”

2. CRIMINAL PROHIBITIONS AGAINST CONDUCTING SAME SEX MARRIAGES

In Washington State;

RCW 26.04.240 provides:

Any person who shall ***undertake to join others in marriage knowing that he is not lawfully authorized to do so***, or any person authorized to solemnize marriage, ***who shall join persons in marriage contrary to the provisions of this chapter***, shall, upon conviction thereof, be punished by a fine of not more than five hundred, nor less than one hundred dollars. (Bold italics added).

RCW 26.04.250 provides:

Every person who shall solemnize a marriage *when* either party thereto is known to him to be under the age of legal consent or a marriage, to which, *within his knowledge, any legal impediment exists*, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor. (Bold italics added).

These two statutes make it a *crime* for a minister of any church to conduct a marriage ceremony that joins together two men, or two women. That is because RCW 26.04.020(1)(c) provides: Marriages in the following cases are prohibited: . . . (c) When the parties are persons other than a male and a female.

Since such marriages are “prohibited” under RCW 26.04.010, the marrying of two men or two women would be a marriage “contrary to the provisions of this chapter” and thus would be punishable by RCW 26.04.240. In addition, because being of the same gender is an “impediment” to marriage, any person who marries two men or two women under RCW’s 26.04.250; would be subject to criminal punishment.

Under the standard of *Judicial Review* for a challenged policy, all Courts must hold that this policy is clearly invalid because neither the federal government nor its sovereign states can demonstrate any compelling federal nor state interest to justify not allowing gays the *holy sacraments of a legal marriage*.

Thus, these laws criminalize the act of marrying people of the same gender. These criminal prohibitions directly infringe upon the free choice of Universal Life Church ministers throughout the United States to freely hold our religious sacraments of marriage for same sex citizens. Such also impinges upon the religious freedom of two gay people who want to have a religious marriage ceremony and who want to be married by the [Universal Life Church Monastery](#) or any other enlightened 21st Century church.

Moreover, under an exception to traditional standing requirements, any plaintiff may challenge a facially overbroad statute in violation of the First Amendment. As such, every deprived citizen has standing to challenge same-sex prohibitive marriage laws on grounds of over breadth. See: [J.L. Spoons, Inc., v. City of Brunswick](#), 181 F.R.D. 354 (N.D. Ohio 1998). This exception applies in First Amendment cases “to ensure that an overbroad statute does not act to ‘chill’ the exercise of rights guaranteed protection.” *Id. at 135* (quotation and citations omitted). “Litigants, therefore, are permitted to challenge a statute not because their own rights of free expression are violated, but because of a judicial prediction or

assumption that the statute's very existence may cause others not before the court to refrain from constitutionally protected speech or expression." *Broadrick v. Oklahoma*, 413 U.S. 601, 612, 37 L. Ed. 2d 830, 93 S. Ct. 2908 (1973).

Further, the Fourteenth Amendment says: "No state shall...deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws." U.S. Const. amend. XIV. This same prohibition applies to the federal government via the Due Process Clause of the Fifth Amendment. See *Bolling v. Sharpe*, 347 U.S. 497 (1954). *Brotherhood of Carpenters and Joiners of America, Local 610, AFL-CIO v. Scott*, 463 U.S. 825 (1983).

Conclusion

These arguments set forth a new path for our citizens, churches and the courts of this land to turn about and follow nature, history and Jehovah's direction. Let the word go forth that God, Jehovah, Allah and Mother Nature intentionally collaborated through evolution and intelligent design to make homosexual, heterosexual and bisexual beings. God's Advocate herein proclaims by the findings above and through Heaven's handiwork, that marriage cannot be defined by a ring and legal document. It is either a religious sacrament for all humans who choose to participate or the holy sacrament of marriage must be denied to all equally and removed from those who currently have such legal contracts upholding this holy religious ritual and practice.

Either America must accept same-sex sacraments of marriage or the First Amendment be taken as a direct blasphemy against God's design and be continuously trampled on by the purposeful and prejudicial inaction of the legislative and judicial tribunals of this country.

"Speak up for those who cannot speak for themselves, for the rights of all who are destitute Speak up and judge fairly; defend the rights of the poor and needy". [Proverbs 31:8,9](#)

Dated: October 21, 2009
Seattle, Washington

Rev. G. Martin Freeman, Presiding
[Universal Life Church Monastery](#)
God's Advocate on behalf of Heaven